Steve McGrath

Mary Larenas <mnlarenas@gmail.com></mnlarenas@gmail.com>
Wednesday, November 14, 2018 12:35 PM
Edmundo Larenas; Sabrina Brennan; Virginia Chang Kiraly; Tom Mattusch; John Moren;
Robert Bernardo; Steve McGrath
RE: Oyster Point Marina and Park: Potential New Agreement with South San Francisco (McGrath)

RE: Oyster Point Marina and Park: Potential New Agreement with South San Francisco (McGrath)

Recommendation: Receive report; provide direction to staff.

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

I am writing to you to express my concerns with the proposed new agreement between the Harbor District, (Oyster Point Marina and Park) and South San Francisco. The item is on the agenda for Nov., 14, 2018.

I am asking you please do not approve this agreement. There are 8 years left before the JPA lapses, therefore there is no reason move approve this item without a chance for the public to have an opportunity to ask questions and gain a full understanding of this agreement. This would allow us to provide intelligent input to the District.

Here is an example of just one of many questions: was a management agreement discussed? If so, why was this option chosen

According to the January 2018 Dornbusch Report the District would need a 30-year contract to make CIP investments financially viable. Thirty years is a long time. What assurance do you have that our volatile economy will eventually reach a point where this assumption is not correct?

The District is in the middle of its Strategic Planning Process. It seems only reasonable that this be completed before any new agreements are implemented, particularly one which is a large and long lived as the Oyster Point Marina and Park agreement.

Please do not approve this agreement until it has been fully discussed with the public. Also provide us with a study session in which the District's Attorney is available to answer questions about the contract.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Larenas

From:	Kris Lannin Liang <grlbordr@yahoo.com></grlbordr@yahoo.com>	
Sent:	Wednesday, November 14, 2018 1:16 PM	
То:	Edmundo Larenas; sbrennen@smharbor.com; Virginia Chang Kiraly; Robert Bernardo; Tom Mattusch;	
	smcmcgrath@smharbor.com; John Moren	
Subject:	Oyster Point Marina and Park: Potential New Agreement with South San Francisco	

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

Please do not enter into lease agreement between the SMC Harbor District and South San Francisco until the public has been given an opportunity to fully understand the terms proposed and outcomes. The JPA doesn't lapse for seven years, and the district is in the middle of its strategic planning process. Please wait until the SP has been completed before any long-term agreements are signed, particularly one as consequential and long-lived as the Oyster Point Marina and Park agreement.

Below are specific concerns/questions of mine:

* Was a management agreement discussed? If so, why was this option chosen?

* According to the January 2018 Dornbusch Report the district would need a 30-year contract to make CIP investments financially viable. What assurance do you have that our volatile economy will eventually reach a point where this assumption is not correct?

1

* Please consider scheduling a study session during which the district's attorney is available to answer questions.

Thank you for your time.

With regards,

Kris Lannin Liang

From:	Sue Hawley <kellruss@earthlink.net></kellruss@earthlink.net>
Sent:	Wednesday, November 14, 2018 1:39 PM
To:	Edmundo Larenas; sbrennen@smharbor.com; Virginia Chang Kiraly; Robert Bernardo; Tom Mattusch;
	smcmcgrath@smharbor.com; John Moren
Subject:	RE: Oyster Point Marina and Park: Potential New Agreement with South San Francisco (McGrath) Recommendation: Receive
	report; provide direction to staff.

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

I am writing to you to express my concerns with the proposed new agreement between the Harbor District, (Oyster Point Marina and Park) and South San Francisco. The item is on the agenda for Nov., 14, 2018.

I am asking you please do not approve this agreement. There are 8 years left before the JPA lapses, therefore there is no reason move approve this item without a chance for the public to have an opportunity to ask questions and gain a full understanding of this agreement. This would allow us to provide intelligent input to the District.

Was a management agreement discussed? If so, why was this option chosen?

According to the January 2018 Dornbusch Report the District would need a 30-year contract to make CIP investments financially viable. Thirty years is a long time. What assurance do you have that our volatile economy will eventually reach a point where this assumption is not correct?

The District is in the middle of its Strategic Planning Process. It seems only reasonable that this be completed before any new agreements are implemented, particularly one which is a large and long lived as the Oyster Point Marina and Park agreement.

Please do not rush into a hastily thought out agreement until it has been fully discussed with the public. Also provide us with a study session in which the District's Attorney is available to answer questions about the contract.

Respectfully

Russell Rosenberg Montara Resident

1

From:	Sue Hawley <suehawley36@yahoo.com></suehawley36@yahoo.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, November 14, 2018 1:39 PM
To:	Edmundo Larenas; sbrennen@smharbor.com; Virginia Chang Kiraly; Robert Bernardo; Tom Mattusch;
	smcmcgrath@smharbor.com; John Moren
Subject:	RE: Oyster Point Marina and Park: Potential New Agreement with South San Francisco (McGrath) Recommendation: Receive
	report; provide direction to staff.

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

I am writing to you to express my concerns with the proposed new agreement between the Harbor District, (Oyster Point Marina and Park) and South San Francisco. The item is on the agenda for Nov., 14, 2018.

I am asking you please do not approve this agreement. There are 8 years left before the JPA lapses, therefore there is no reason move approve this item without a chance for the public to have an opportunity to ask questions and gain a full understanding of this agreement. This would allow us to provide intelligent input to the District.

Was a management agreement discussed? If so, why was this option chosen?

According to the January 2018 Dornbusch Report the District would need a 30-year contract to make CIP investments financially viable. Thirty years is a long time. What assurance do you have that our volatile economy will eventually reach a point where this assumption is not correct?

The District is in the middle of its Strategic Planning Process. It seems only reasonable that this be completed before any new agreements are implemented, particularly one which is a large and long lived as the Oyster Point Marina and Park agreement.

Please do not rush into a hastily thought out agreement until it has been fully discussed with the public. Also provide us with a study session in which the District's Attorney is available to answer questions about the contract.

1

Sue Hawley Montara Resident

From:	Celine Gerakin <celine.gerakin@gmail.com></celine.gerakin@gmail.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, November 14, 2018 2:08 PM
To:	Edmundo Larenas; sbrennen@smharbor.com; Virginia Chang Kiraly; Robert Bernardo; Tom Mattusch;
	smcmcgrath@smharbor.com; John Moren
Subject:	Oyster Point Marina and Park: Potential New Agreement with South San Francisco

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

My husband and I have been living on our boat in C dock for over 5 years now.

Over the years we have seen some of the facilities and docks being bit up by the elements and usage. Some small improvements have been done which are very appreciated but there are still some large areas of concern such as (to only list a few):

- Security

- Fixing of lighting fixtures

- Uneven docks (wondering how they are still floating at times!)

- Slippery docks due to growth of moss (super hyper dangerous !!)

- Improvement of shower facilities

- The mail boxes are so rusty it is almost impossible to get our mail (but maybe the post office is in charge of that)

- Electricity (our bill has been \$80 / month and changing every time knowing that we haven't been on the boat 8 months in a row this year...?!)

- More staff to help regulate illegal black water and sewage dumping in the harbor water (I picked up a plastic bag once full of human feces - I won't do it again but there are quite a few suspicious plastic bags floating in the water...)

So, we are wondering why more money should be invested for the Oyster Marina and not for Pillar Point where the sailing and fishing community could greatly benefit from some of these changes before it is way too late or way to dangerous to have a boat docked and/or live aboard in HMB.

I know that some of you do own boats in Pillar Point, so they very likely know exactly what I am talking about. For the ones who don't I will invite you to:

- Use the facilities: take a shower, do your laundry and see what you think

- Take a walk on the docks at night then on a windy day and again on a misty / foggy / rainy day

Meanwhile, please do not approve this agreement until it has been fully discussed with us (the ones paying the dock fees!). Also provide us with a study session in which the District's Attorney is available to answer questions about the contract.

Thank you, Celine

1

From:	Barbara Dye <blkdye@gmail.com></blkdye@gmail.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, November 14, 2018 4:14 PM
То:	John Moren
Subject:	Oyster Point Marina and Park

RE: Oyster Point Marina and Park: Potential New Agreement with South San Francisco (McGrath) Recommendation: Receive report; provide direction to staff.

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

It has been brought to my attention that you will be discussing a potential new agreement between the Harbor District, (Oyster Point Marina and Park) and South San Francisco. The item is on the agenda for today, Nov., 14, 2018.

I urge you to accept alternative two in your staff report, to take no action and give direction to staff. This is clearly a complex agreement that would commit the District for an extraordinary amount of time. It is very important that the public have the information to evaluate such a major commitment. It would be logical to wait at least until the District has completed its Strategic Planning process to proceed on this matter.

1

Respectfully,

Barbara Dye

El Granada

Steve McGrath

From: Nar	cy Reyering <nanzo@me.com></nanzo@me.com>
Sent: Wee	dnesday, November 14, 2018 4:18 PM
To: Stev	ve McGrath
Cc: Joh	n Moren; Debbie Gehret; Virginia Chang Kiraly; Tom Mattusch; Robert Bernardo;
Edm	nundo Larenas; Sabrina Brennan
Subject: Tho	ughts on the MOU with SSF

Steve and John,

Thank you again for taking time to walk me through the agenda today and discuss particulars of current Harbor District business.

There is an impressive amount of work behind the Discussion items, and it is my sincere hope that Harbor District progress on Pillar Point dock renovations, and efforts to clarify the HD's relationship with South San Francisco will be good news to voters in the County.

The Memorandum of Understanding with South San Francisco will bind the District for a long period of time. I understand your desire to finalize it, but I believe the voters would prefer that the newly seated body should finalize approval of such a meaningful contract. After the voters have spoken and before the new Commission is seated is not the time to engage in such meaningful contracts.

The next two years in particular will be a testing ground for the newly seated commission, and I am concerned how finalizing the agreement in this very small, specific period of time between an election and the seating of new commissioners will look to voters. Again, as a courtesy, I hope you will consider I'd tabling the item until January.

Looking forward to meeting again before your departure.

Best regards, Nancy Reyering

Neil Merrilees

160 Marine Blvd Moss Beach CA 94038 650 728 3813 mermade4@yahoo.com

November 14, 2018

Harbor Commission San Mateo County Harbor District 504 Avenue Alhambra, 2nd Floor Box 1449 El Granada, CA 94018

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

I am writing to you to express my concerns with the proposed new Oyster Point agreement on the agenda for Nov., 14, 2018.

I am asking you please do not approve this agreement. There are 8 years left before the JPA lapses, therefore there is no reason move approve this item without a chance for the public to have an opportunity to ask questions and gain a full understanding of this agreement.

Please do not approve this agreement until it has been fully discussed with the public.

Respectfully

Neil Merrilees