

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED] [Melanie Hadden](#); [James Pruet](#); [William Zemke](#); [George Domurat](#); [Kathryn Slater-Carter](#)
Cc: [Tom Mattusch](#); [Virginia Chang Kiraly](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL]Re: Email for 4/19 board meeting
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2023 11:59:26 AM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**** External
Sender ****

Ms. Hadden,

Thank you for posting my new email. Could you please un-redact, "my very private disability" from said email? Also, as only one email can be read per person, could you please delete 1st email? Thank you.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

On Tuesday, April 18, 2023 at 09:53:59 AM MST, [REDACTED]:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2023
Subject: Email for 4/19 board meeting
To: Melanie Hadden <mhadden@smharbor.com>, James Pruet <jpruet@smharbor.com>
Cc: [REDACTED]

Ms. Hadden,

When will email currently posted on your website to be read at April 19th Board meeting be switched out to new email I sent you, commissioners & Mr. Pruet, with "very private disability" un-redacted?

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
To: [William Zemke](#); [Tom Mattusch](#); [George Domurat](#); [Virginia Chang Kiraly](#); [Kathryn Slater-Carter](#); [Melanie Hadden](#); [Julie van Hoff](#); [James Pruett](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL]April 19th meeting email to be read
Date: Monday, April 17, 2023 12:07:36 PM

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**** External
Sender ****

SMCHD staff and Harbor Commissioners,

This email is in response to new "license agreements" proposed by you in your staff report for meeting dated April 19th, 2023. Ms Hadden, I would like my last email that you had slated for said meeting to be stricken from being read at meeting and for this email to be read in it's stead with of course redactions of any personal information of mine. Please forward me copy with said redactions before posting.

My concern is regarding recommendations of "Berth agreement license" & "Livaboard License agreement".

(1) Under "Background/ Discussion", you state, " The current agreements are several years old and not aligned with District Ordinances or practices." How exactly are current agreements not aligned with District Ordinances or practices? Please be specific in your answer.

(2) How does completing a review and re-write of each permit bring them up to date and in compliance with District rules, regulations, and ordinances? Please be specific in your answer.

Said recommendations seem to me to be in my opinion, a grab for more power and control over marina tenants. I will explain. Over the course of 2-3years, I and other Marina tenants have been the victim of constant harrasment and lies perpetrated by Marina staff regarding "parking" of all things. I have had to resort to using my "very private" disability in order to suppress said harrasment, but lies continue to this day. I have carefully documented all instances of harrasment and lies including "Cease and Desist" letter sent to Mr. Pruett and others, of which Mr. Pruett emailed his staff to "Do not reply" and Ms Van Hoff stating she in fact did not receive said letter. Again, it is my opinion that SMCHD in fact has failed in it's intimidation goal of marina tenants, by documented harrasment and lies and now wishes to grab more power in said new

"District License Agreements". I can fully provide full documentation of said harrasment and lies upon request, but as of this date, Harbor commissioners and SMCHD staff have completely ignored situation and have not asked.

I appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.